# Structure and Mobility of Grain Boundaries in High Entropy Alloys: A Molecular Dynamics Study



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

**Daniel Utt**, Alexander Stukowski, and Karsten Albe Materialmodellierung, Technische Universität Darmstadt

Motivation				
<ul> <li>Reduced grain growth in high entropy alloys (HEAs) has been observed and there are 4</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Solute drag in an average matrix.</li> <li>Solute segregation to the GBs.</li> </ol>	1)	2)	3)
potential reasons:	1 Secondary phase formation at the CDa			

- Structural changes at the grain boundaries (GBs) caused by the intrinsic lattice distortions.
- 4. Secondary phase formation at the GBS.
- We want to determine which one of these is responsible for the reduced grain boundary mobility.







#### **Computational methods**

- Σ11(332)(110) symmetrical tilt grain bounadary (STGB) in bicrystalline configuration.
- We performed classical molecular dynamics simulations using LAMMPS using embedded atom method (EAM) potentials for the pure metals and the model CuNiCoFe HEA. [1,2]
- We derive an average atom (avg.-atom) potential [3] for the model HEA.
- Migration of the planar STGB driven by a synthetic driving force. [4]
- Solute segregation is simulated using a Monte Carlo algorithm. [5]



### **Grain Boundary Structure**

Is there a structural difference for the  $\Sigma 11$  STGB in the HEA caused by the intrinsic lattice distortions?  $T = 0 \text{ K} | \bullet \text{Other} | \bullet \text{FCC} | \bullet \text{HCP}$ 









## **Grain Boundary Segregation**

What is the influence of solute segregation to the  $\Sigma 11$  STGB on its mobility?



- Almost identical 0 K STGB structures. The repeating structural units for this STGB are marked in white. [6]
- Localized deviation from the ideal configuration in the HEA which could originate from local variations of the stacking fault energy or the intrinsic lattice distortions.

#### Synthetic Driving Force

Is the mobility of the  $\Sigma 11$  STGB systematically reduced compared to other FCC metals?

$$P = \frac{\Delta e}{\Omega}, \quad v = M \cdot P, \quad M = M^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-Q_m}{KT}\right)$$

$$T/K$$

$$1400 \quad 1000 \quad 800$$

$$\Delta e : 15 \text{ meV/at}$$

$$Pure \text{ Ni}$$

$$M = M^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-Q_m}{KT}\right)$$

$$\frac{\Delta e : 15 \text{ meV/at}}{Pure \text{ Ni}}$$

- Grain boundary solute segregation pins the  $\Sigma 11$  STGB.
- Cross check: An inserted Cu layer stops the STGB once it is reached.
- The inserted Cu layer strongly attracts the STGB.

How does the pinning energy of the solute cloud scale with temperature?



• We use a driving force of 0.1 eV/at to move the STGB away from the solute cloud.



- The HEA does not show a significantly reduced mobility for this Σ11 STGB. Its mobility is almost identical to its average matrix counterpart.
- All samples show a strong correlation between their respective  $\gamma_{\rm ISF}$  and  $\gamma_{\rm USF}$ , and the activation barrier for GB migration. This can be explained by the GB migration mechanism which relies on repeated emission and retraction of Shockley partial dislocations from the GB.

•  $\Delta E = E_{\text{final}} - E_{\text{initial}} / A_{\text{GB}}$ 

• As the Cu concentration at the STGB decreases, so does the excess energy  $\Delta E$ , which is responsible for the GB pinning.

• The STGB remained stationary at its initial position for the whole temperature range at an synthetic driving force of 0.015 eV/at.

[1] Zhou et al., Phys. Rev. B, 69, 2004 | [2] Foiles & Hoyt, Tech rep., 2001 | [3] Varvenne et al., Nat. Mater., 5, 2006 | [5] Sadigh et al., Phys. Rev. B, 85, 2012 | [6] Rittner & Seidman, Phys. Rev. B, 54, 1996

#### contact: utt@mm.tu-darmstadt.de